This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES*
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



STIC Search Report

STIC Database Tracking Number: 129196

TO: Luke Wassum Location: 4D41

Art Unit: 2177

Friday, August 06, 2004

Case Serial Number: 10/681581

From: David Holloway Location: EIC 2100

PK2-4B30

Phone: 308-7794

david.holloway@uspto.gov

Search Notes

Dear Examiner Wassum,
Attached please find your search results for above-referenced case. Please contact me if you have any questions or would like a re-focused search.
David





STIC EIC 2100 |29196 Search Request Form 27

WWW

	date would you like to use to limit the search?
5 August 2004 Priorit	y Date: ルタクス Other:
Name Like S Wassom	Format for Search Results (Circle One):
Name Auge 3 W4555VM	
AU <u>2177</u> Examiner # <u>77895</u>	
Room # PXZ 4041 Phone 305-5706	Where have you searched so far?
Serial # 10 681 58)	USP DWPI EPO JPO ACM IBM TDB
Senai# 10 68) 38)	IEEE INSPEC SPI Other
Is this a "Fast & Focused" Search Request? (Ci A "Fast & Focused" Search is completed in 2-3 hours (n meet certain criteria. The criteria are posted in EIC2100 http://ptoweb/patents/stic/stic-tc2100.htm.	naximum). The search must be on a very specific topic and
include the concepts, synonyms, keywords, acronyms, o	ecific details defining the desired focus of this search? Plea definitions, strategies, and anything else that helps to descri und, brief summary, pertinent claims and any citations of
A system to model contamination	n incidents in a food supply chain,
including:	
and of distribution databa	se containing distribution data related
to the flow of particular bood pro	se containing distribution data related ducts from producers to retailers to
**	
alalian system to recie	we a selected product type and
a modering of the	acident according to the distribution
model an evolving contamination is	
data in the product distribution de	ncident according to the distribution table and the selected product type.
	•
STIC Searcher David Holls was	Phone 708-7794
	oleted 3-6-09
Date Picked up Date Com	
	· \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \

Set Items Description S1 EPIDEMIOL? (2N) (MODEL?) (4N) (FOODBORNE? OR FOODBOURN? OR FOO-D()(BORN? OR BOURNE?)) S2 RD (unique items) S3 S2 NOT PY>2002 S3 NOT PD>20021008 File 636: Gale Group Newsletter DB(TM) 1987-2004/Aug 06 (c) 2004 The Gale Group File 156:ToxFile 1965-2004/Aug W1 (c) format only 2004 The Dialog Corporation 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text 1984-2004/Jul (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co. File 88:Gale Group Business A.R.T.S. 1976-2004/Aug 05 (c) 2004 The Gale Group File 73:EMBASE 1974-2004/Aug W1 (c) 2004 Elsevier Science B.V. File 51: Food Sci.&Tech.Abs 1969-2004/Aug W2 (c) 2004 FSTA IFIS Publishing File 16:Gale Group PROMT(R) 1990-2004/Aug 06 (c) 2004 The Gale Group File 5:Biosis Previews(R) 1969-2004/Aug W1 (c) 2004 BIOSIS

4/5/2 (Item 1 from file: 156)

DIALOG(R) File 156:ToxFile

(c) format only 2004 The Dialog Corporation. All rts. reserv.

02773738 NLM Doc No: 2665178

[The contaminated chocolate epidemic of 1987]

Sjokoladeepidemien i 1987.

Kapperud G; Lassen J; Aasen S; Gustavsen S; Hellesnes I

Journal Name: Tidsskrift for den Norske laegeforening (NORWAY) Pub. Year: Jun 30 1989 109 (19-21) p1982-5, ISSN: 0029-2001

Journal Code: 0413423

Document type: Journal Article ; English Abstract

Languages: NORWEGIAN
Main Citation Owner: NLM
Record type: Completed

Subfile: Toxbib ; INDEX MEDICUS

The article describes a nationwide outbreak of Salmonella typhimurium infection in 1987 caused by contaminated chocolate products from one particular factory. A total of 349 bacteriologically verified cases were recorded. It was estimated, however, that 20,000-40,000 persons became ill during the outbreak. We describe the epidemiological and bacteriological investigations which led to identification of the source of infection, and discuss two epidemiological models for investigation of food - borne outbreaks. The article emphasizes the importance of collaboration between the community health service and the local food inspection laboratories during investigation of foodborne outbreaks.

Tags: Female; Human; Male

Descriptors: *Cacao; *Candy; *Plants, Edible; *Salmonella Food Poisoning --epidemiology--EP; Adolescent; Adult; Aged; Child; Child, Preschool; Infant; Middle Aged; Norway; Salmonella typhimurium --isolation and purification--IP

Record Date Created: 19890822 Record Date Completed: 19890822 '4/5/4 (Item 1 from file: 73)
DIALOG(R)File 73:EMBASE

(c) 2004 Elsevier Science B.V. All rts. reserv.

10976008 EMBASE No: 2001014518

The use of epidemiological data to direct resources in food safety control

Powell S.C.; Attwell R.W.

Dr. R.W. Attwell, Department of Biological Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University, Chester Street, Manchester M1 5GD United Kingdom

AUTHOR EMAIL: r.w.atwell@mmu.ac.uk

Reviews on Environmental Health (REV. ENVIRON. HEALTH) (Israel) 2000

, 15/4 (381-387)

CODEN: REVHA ISSN: 0048-7554 DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal ; Article

LANGUAGE: ENGLISH SUMMARY LANGUAGE: ENGLISH

NUMBER OF REFERENCES: 23

In the United States, foodborne disease results in financial losses estimated at \$2.9 to \$6.7 billion annually as a result of illness that is due to six specific foodborne pathogens. A model is proposed that analyzes epidemiological data and highlights areas in food safety control that have the greatest impact on foodborne disease. The model identifies Critical Control Points for use by the food industry, prioritizes criteria for inspection of food processes by regulatory authorities, and provides a focus for food hygiene training programs and campaigns. The model also provides a cost-benefit analysis that can be used to direct resources that are used in food safety control in a cost-effective manner.

- · ·

```
Set
        Items
                Description
       812538
                CROP OR CROPS OR HARVEST OR FOOD OR VEGETABLE? OR MEAT? OR
S1
             POTATO? OR AGRICULTUR?
S2
      3583652
                TRACK? OR TRACE? OR MONITOR? OR MODEL? OR SIMULAT? OR PRED-
             ICT? OR FORECAST? OR SURVEILL?
               SPREAD? OR SUPPLY() CHAIN? OR DISTRIBUT? OR FLOW? ? OR ROUT-
      2045757
S3
             E?
               DISEASE? OR ILLNESS? OR ANTHRAX? OR PLAGUE? OR CONTAMINANT?
S4
      5891120
              OR POISON? OR PATHOGEN? OR SMALLPOX?
S5
         3663
                S1 AND S2 AND S3 AND S4
                S1(2N)S4 AND S5
S6
                BIOTERROR? OR BIOLOGICAL()(WEAPON? OR WARFARE?) OR FOOD()(-
        66255
S7
             SECURIT? OR SAFE?)
S8
          206
                S6 AND S7
S9
          188
                RD (unique items)
                S9 NOT PY>2002
$10
          161
       173253
                (S1 OR S4)(2N)S2
S11
        33991
                (S1 OR S4)(2N)S3
S12
S13
        73862
                COMPUTER() (MODEL? OR SIMULAT? OR FORECAST? OR PREDICT?)
S14
           70
                S10 AND (S11 OR S12 OR S13)
      51:Food Sci.&Tech.Abs 1969-2004/Aug W2
File
         (c) 2004 FSTA IFIS Publishing
      63:Transport Res(TRIS) 1970-2004/Jul
File
         (c) fmt only 2004 Dialog Corp.
      79:Foods Adlibra(TM) 1974-2002/Apr
File
         (c) 2002 General Mills
File
     73:EMBASE 1974-2004/Aug W1
         (c) 2004 Elsevier Science B.V.
File 155:MEDLINE(R) 1951-2004/Aug Wl
         (c) format only 2004 The Dialog Corp.
File 587: Jane's Defense&Aerospace 2004/Jul W4
         (c) 2004 Jane's Information Group
```

14/5/4 (Item 4 from file: 51)
DIALOG(R)File 51:Food Sci.&Tech.Abs
(c) 2004 FSTA IFIS Publishing. All rts. reserv.

00863805 2002-Cf0919 SUBFILE: FSTA

The use of food consumption data in assessments of exposure to food chemicals including the application of probabilistic $\,$ modelling .

Lambe, J.

Inst. of European Food Studies, Biotech. Inst., Trinity Coll., Dublin 2, Republic of Ireland. Fax +353 1 670 9176. E-mail iefs(a)iefs.ie Proceedings of the Nutrition Society 2002 , 61 (1) 11-18

NOTE: 47 ref.

DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal Article ISSN: 0029-6651

LANGUAGE: English

Extension of the use of food consumption data and data on nutrient intake (collected in various surveys) to food chemical intakes is discussed. Chemicals for which exposure assessments are required include food additives, pesticide residues, environmental contaminants , mycotoxins, novel food ingredients, packaging material migrants, flavourings and micronutrients. Monitoring exposure to such chemicals has become an integral part of the food safety procedure. Aspects discussed in this paper include: sources of food consumption data used in exposure assessment; fitness-for-purpose (chronic vs. acute exposure, population groups, food groups, data quality and quantity); approaches to modelling food consumption in exposure assessments (point estimates, simple distributions, probabilistic models); food consumption data in probabilistic models; and future directions. [This paper was presented at the symposium on Nutritional Aspects of Food Safety , held in Coleraine, UK, on 20-22 June, 2001.]

&&

DESCRIPTORS (HEADINGS): DIET; FOOD SAFETY; RESIDUES

DESCRIPTORS: CHEMICALS; RISKS ASSESSMENT

14/5/16 (Item 16 from file: 51)
DIALOG(R)File 51:Food Sci.&Tech.Abs
(c) 2004 FSTA IFIS Publishing. All rts. reserv.

00832194 2001-Cd1171 SUBFILE: FSTA

Importance of predictive microbiology for risk minimization in food production processes. I. Model creation, user programs and validating.

Kleer, J.; Hildebrandt, G.

Inst. fuer Lebensmittelhygiene, Freie Univ. Berlin, D-14163 Berlin, Germany. E-mail jkleet(a)vetmed.fu-berlin, Germany

Fleischwirtschaft 2001 , 81 (6) 99-103

DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal Article ISSN: 0015-363X

LANGUAGE: German SUMMARY LANGUAGE: English

Predictive microbiology is discussed with reference to: basic principles; mathematical modelling of behaviour of microorganisms in foods in relation to intrinsic and extrinsic factors; growth, death and survival models for the main pathogens; available software (Food MicroModel and Pathogen Modelling Program); performance of mathematical models used for predictive microbiologý; application (after validation for the specific food) at all stages of food production and distribution; use of predictive microbiology in HACCP; and use in microbiological risk assessment.

DESCRIPTORS (HEADINGS): FOOD SAFETY; HACCP; MICROBIOLOGY

DESCRIPTORS: PREDICTIVE MICROBIOLOGY

14/5/37 (Item 37 from file: 51)
DIALOG(R)File 51:Food Sci.&Tech.Abs
(c) 2004 FSTA IFIS Publishing. All rts. reserv.

00699341 95-09-c0005 SUBFILE: FSTA

Predictive microbiology in a dynamic environment: a system theory approach.

Impe, J. F. van; Nicolai, B. M.; Schellekens, M.; Martens, T.;

Baerdemaeker, J. de

Fac. of Agric. & Applied Biol. Sci., Katholieke Univ. Leuven, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium. Tel. (+32)16/321466. Fax (+32)16/321997. É-mail jan.vanimpe(a)esat.kuleuven.ac.be

International Journal of Food Microbiology 1995 , 25 (3) 227-249

NOTE: 23 ref.

DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal Article ISSN: 0168-1605

LANGUAGE: English

Factors influencing the microbial stability of chilled prepared food products include temp., pH and aw. Unlike pH and aw, temp. may vary extensively throughout the production and distribution chain. Shelf life of these kinds of foods is usually limited due to spoilage by common microorganisms and the increased risk of food pathogens . Mathematical models are often used to predict shelf life; however, the predictive value of sigmoidal functions to describe a bacterial growth curve as an explicit function of time is only guaranteed at constant temp. within the temp. range of microbial growth. As a result, these models are less appropriate in optimization studies of a whole production and distribution chain. A more general modelling approach, inspired by system theory concepts, is presented taking into account time varying temp. profiles. A recently proposed dynamic model to predict microbial growth and inactivation under time varying temp. conditions from a system theory point of view is discussed. The validity of this methodology is illustrated with experimental data of Brochothrix thermosphacta and Lactobacillus plantarum. Some possible refinements of this model , inspired by experimental results, are proposed. (From En summ.) (JCM)

DESCRIPTORS (HEADINGS): Microorganisms; Food safety; Microbiological

techniques

DESCRIPTORS: PREDICTIVE MODELLING; FOODS

GENERAL DESCRIPTORS: Microorganisms

14/5/44 (Item 44 from file: 51)
DIALOG(R)File 51:Food Sci.&Tech.Abs
(c) 2004 FSTA IFIS Publishing. All rts. reserv.

00661625 93-07-c0061 SUBFILE: FSTA

The Food Micromodel for prediction of growth of foodborne pathogens

Gorris, L. G. M.; Peck, M. W.

DLO-Inst. voor Agrotech. Onderzoek (ATO-DLO), Wageningen, Netherlands Voedingsmiddelentechnologie 1993 , 26 (5) 36-37, 39

NOTE: 9 ref.

DOCUMENT TYPE: Journal Article ISSN: 0042-7934

LANGUAGE: Dutch

The Food Micromodel computer model for prediction of survival, growth and death of foodborne pathogens in relation to temp., pH, salt (or aw) and, optionally, preservatives is described. Examples of applications of this model are discussed, including product and process development, assessment of storage and distribution systems, and prediction of effects of time/temp. regimes on survival of pathogens in foods. (AJDW)

DESCRIPTORS (HEADINGS): Pathogens; Food safety

DESCRIPTORS: MODELLING; FOODS GENERAL DESCRIPTORS: Microorganisms

```
Description
Set
       Items
               CROP OR CROPS OR HARVEST OR FOOD OR VEGETABLE? OR MEAT? OR
      6136786
S1
             POTATO? OR AGRICULTUR?
                TRACK? OR TRACE? OR MONITOR? OR MODEL? OR SIMULAT? OR PRED-
S2
     10635230
             ICT? OR FORECAST? OR SURVEILL?
                SPREAD? OR SUPPLY() CHAIN? OR DISTRIBUT? OR FLOW? ? OR ROUT-
      6401001
S3
             E?
                DISEASE? OR ILLNESS? OR ANTHRAX? OR PLAGUE? OR CONTAMINANT?
S4
     10529512
              OR POISON? OR PATHOGEN? OR SMALLPOX?
                S1 AND S2 AND S3 AND S4
        14905
S5
                S1(2N)S4 AND S5
         2015
S6
                BIOTERROR? OR BIOLOGICAL() (WEAPON? OR WARFARE? OR TERROR?)
S7
        64506
             OR FOOD() (SECUR? OR SAFE?)
         2192 S2 AND S3 AND S7
S8
                S1 AND S8
S9
         1851
                S4 AND S9
          900
S10
         7837
                S2(5N)S3(5N)S4
S11
           48
                S10 AND S11
S12
S13
           33
                RD (unique items)
                S13 NOT PY>2002
S14
           22
       5:Biosis Previews(R) 1969-2004/Aug W1
File
         (c) 2004 BIOSIS
File
       6:NTIS 1964-2004/Aug W1
         (c) 2004 NTIS, Intl Cpyrght All Rights Res
      10:AGRICOLA 70-2004/Jun
File
         (c) format only 2004 The Dialog Corporation
      34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci 1990-2004/Aug W1
File
         (c) 2004 Inst for Sci Info
      50:CAB Abstracts 1972-2004/Jul
File
         (c) 2004 CAB International
File
      65:Inside Conferences 1993-2004/Aug W1
         (c) 2004 BLDSC all rts. reserv.
      94:JICST-EPlus 1985-2004/Jul W2
File
         (c)2004 Japan Science and Tech Corp(JST)
      98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text 1984-2004/Jul
File
         (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co.
      99:Wilson Appl. Sci & Tech Abs 1983-2004/Jul
File
         (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co.
File 143:
File 144: Pascal 1973-2004/Jul W4
         (c) 2004 INIST/CNRS
File 203:AGRIS 1974-2004/Jun
         Dist by NAL, Intl Copr. All rights reserved
File 235: AGROProjects 1990- 2004/Q3
         (c) 2004 PJB Publications, Ltd.
File 266: FEDRIP 2004/Jun
         Comp & dist by NTIS, Intl Copyright All Rights Res
File 306: Pesticide Fact File 2003/Sep
         (c) 2003 BCPC
File 357: Derwent Biotech Res. 1982-2004/Aug W2
         (c) 2004 Thomson Derwent & ISI
File 434:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci 1974-1989/Dec
         (c) 1998 Inst for Sci Info
```

14/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 5)
DIALOG(R)File 5:Biosis Previews(R)
(c) 2004 BIOSIS. All rts. reserv.

0010959885 BIOSIS NO.: 199799593945

Surveillance of foodborne disease : I. purposes and types of

surveillance systems and networks

AUTHOR: Guzewich John J; Bryan Frank L (Reprint); Todd Ewen C D

AUTHOR ADDRESS: Food Safety Consultation Training, 8233 Pleasant Hill Rd.,

Lithonia, GA 30058, USA**USA

JOURNAL: Journal of Food Protection 60 (5): p555-566 1997 1997

ISSN: 0362-028X

DOCUMENT TYPE: Article; Literature Review

RECORD TYPE: Abstract LANGUAGE: English

Surveillance of foodborne disease: I. purposes and types of surveillance systems and networks

ABSTRACT: This is the first part of a four-part series on foodborne disease surveillance. Although these articles are primarily built on expertise gained within North America, the substance is of value to any community or country wishing to initiate or improve its surveillance system. Foodborne disease surveillance is necessary for preventing further spread of foodborne disease and includes identifying and controlling outbreaks at the time they are occurring; gathering data on incidence of these diseases and prevalence of their etiologic agents, vehicles, and reservoirs; identifying factors that led to the...

...data bank for HACCP systems and risk assessments; estimating health and economic impacts of foodborne diseases; and providing information upon which to base rational food safety program goals and priorities. Reports of outbreaks by local health agencies to regional and, then, national agencies responsible for disease surveillance, laboratory isolations of certain foodborne pathogens from human beings, sentinel community studies, and hazard surveillance are the types of foodborne disease surveillance activities that are used to varying extents in Canada and the U.S. In recent years, some national surveillance reports have been collated internationally in Europe and Latin America. Surveillance at local, state/provincial, national, and international levels must be coordinated for effective and rapid transfer of data. Computer software can assist investigation and management of the information submitted through surveillance networks. Information summarized on individual reports usually includes (a) location of the event, (b) clinical...

...report should be subjected to critical review before classifying it into the various categories of surveillance data. Such a review would also be useful when comparing surveillance data from different places and intervals. Highlights of individual reports are tabulated as line listings that are the direct sources of surveillance data, which are the subject of the second and third parts of this series.

DESCRIPTORS:

MISCELLANEOUS TERMS: ... food industry...

... FOODBORNE DISEASE SURVEILLANCE ; ...

... SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS

14/3,K/2 (Item 1 from file: 6)

DIALOG(R) File 6:NTIS

(c) 2004 NTIS, Intl Cpyrght All Rights Res. All rts. reserv.

2243866 NTIS Accession Number: PB2002-108395/XAB

Generic HACCP Model for Irradiated, Raw Meat and Poultry Products

Food Safety and Inspection Service, Washington, DC.

Corp. Source Codes: 101949000

Report No.: HACCP-8 May 1999 50p Languages: English

Journal Announcement: USGRDR0225

Product reproduced from digital image. Order this product from NTIS by: phone at 1-800-553-NTIS (U.S. customers); (703)605-6000 (other countries); fax at (703)605-6900; and email at orders@ntis.gov. NTIS is located at 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA, 22161, USA.

NTIS Prices: PC A04/MF A01

Generic HACCP Model for Irradiated, Raw Meat and Poultry Products
... the occurrence of problems by assuring that controls are applied at any point in a food production system where hazardous or critical situations could occur. Hazards include biological, chemical, or physical contamination of food products. The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) published a final rule in July 1996 mandating that HACCP be implemented as the system of process control in all inspected meat and poultry plants. As part of its efforts to assist establishments in the preparation of plant-specific HACCP plans, FSIS determined that a generic model for each process defined in the regulation would be made available for use on a voluntary basis by inspected establishments. This generic model is designed for use with the process subcategory: Irradiated, raw product.

Descriptors: Meat industry; *Irradiation; *Inspection; Contaminants; Processing; Food safety; Flow charts; Models; Poultry; Food

industry

14/3,K/5 (Item 1 from file: 10) DIALOG(R)File 10:AGRICOLA

(c) format only 2004 The Dialog Corporation. All rts. reserv.

3848468 11083051 Holding Library: AGL

Poultry food assess risk model (Poultry FARM) / Thomas P. Oscar

Oscar, Thomas P.

United States. Agricultural Research Service. Eastern Regional Research Center. Microbial Food Safety Research Unit.

Version 2.0. Poultry FARM

Princess Anne, MD : USDA, ARS, ERRC, Microbial Food Safety Research Unit, [2000?]

1 computer disk; 3 1/2 in. + 1 sheet.

DNAL CALL NO: aRA601.5 .083 2000

Language: English

Poultry food assess risk model (Poultry FARM) / Thomas P. Oscar A collection of computer spreadsheet models that predict the exposure and response of consumers to human bacterial pathogens of poultry origin. Contains one simulation model and four predictive models . Provides poultry companies and regulatory agencies with computer models that assist them in making food safety decisions that impact public health.

DESCRIPTORS: Foodborne diseases; Food ...

... Food contamination;

FOOD CONTAMINATION AND TOXICOLOGY-POULTRY; Section Headings: Q203 FOOD PROCESSING-POULTRY PRODUCTS

14/3,K/8 (Item 3 from file: 34)
DIALOG(R)File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci
(c) 2004 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

03608641 Genuine Article#: PR143 No. References: 8

Title: DEVELOPING AND DISTRIBUTING USER-FRIENDLY APPLICATION SOFTWARE

Author(s): BUCHANAN RL

Corporate Source: USDA ARS, EASTERN REG RES CTR, MICROBIAL FOOD SAFETY RES UNIT, 600 E MERMAID LANE/PHILADELPHIA//PA/19118

Journal: JOURNAL OF INDUSTRIAL MICROBIOLOGY, 1993, V12, N3-5 (FAL), P 251-255

ISSN: 0169-4146

Language: ENGLISH Document Type: ARTICLE (Abstract Available)

Title: DEVELOPING AND DISTRIBUTING USER-FRIENDLY APPLICATION SOFTWARE

Abstract: The adoption of new techniques in predictive microbiology by
the food industry will ultimately be dependent on the development of
user-friendly application software that makes it easy for non-research
personnel to employ the mathematical models. Such applications
should be an integral part of projects in predictive microbiology.
Recommendations related to the architecture, speed, protection,
testing, and distribution of application software are presented based
on our experience in developing and distributing the 'Microbial Food
Safety Pathogen Modeling Program.'

...Identifiers--SODIUM-CHLORIDE; ANAEROBIC GROWTH; AEROBIC GROWTH; TEMPERATURE; MODEL; PH; NITRITE

Research Fronts: 92-0633 002 (SQUARE ROOT MODEL; BACTERIAL-GROWTH CURVES; VARIABLE TEMPERATURES; PREDICTIVE MICROBIOLOGY; THERMAL REQUIREMENTS)

14/3,K/18 (Item 9 from file: 98)
DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text
(c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03760152 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGSI98010152 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

Quantitative risk assessment: an emerging tool for emerging foodborne pathogens .

Lammerding, Anna M

Paoli, Greg M

Emerging Infectious Diseases (Emerging Infect Dis) v. 3 (Oct./Dec. '97) p. 483-7

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl ISSN: 1080-6040

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 3453

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

Quantitative risk assessment: an emerging tool for emerging foodborne pathogens .

ABSTRACT: New challenges to the safety of the **food** supply require new strategies for evaluating and managing **food safety** risks. Changes in **pathogens**, **food** preparation, **distribution**, and consumption, and population immunity have the potential to adversely affect human health. Risk assessment offers a framework for **predicting** the impact of changes and trends on the provision of safe **food**. Risk assessment **models** facilitate the evaluation of active or passive changes in how foods are produced, processed, **distributed**, and consumed. Reprinted by permission of the publisher.

TEXT:

The changing epidemiology of foodborne diseases is a result of complex interactions and changes in pathogens, foods, food distribution, food consumption, and population immunity (1-3). Predicting the impact of a trend in one part of the food continuum presupposes understanding of the whole system. Aspects of the food processing and distribution system can amplify or attenuate the trend as it grows into a potential health hazard. While a full understanding of pathogen contamination, infection, and survival is difficult, a systematic approach to assessing the impact of the pathogen on health may improve the quality of public health decisions (4,5).

Quantitative risk assessment is a possible approach for designing programs to address emerging foodborne **diseases**. The use of risk assessment in environmental toxicology illustrates the potential advantages of applying quantitative...

...combined to represent a cause-and-effect chain from the prevalence and concentration of the **pathogen** to the probability and magnitude of health effects. In risk assessment, risk consists of both the probability and impact of **disease**. In this way, risk reduction can be achieved in either dimension--by reducing the probability of **disease** or by reducing its severity.

HAZARD IDENTIFICATION

In hazard identification, an association between **disease** and the presence of a **pathogen** in a **food** is documented. The information may describe conditions under which the **pathogen** survives, grows, causes infection, and dies. Epidemiologic and **surveillance** data, challenge testing, and scientific studies of **pathogenicity** also contribute information. Data collected during hazard identification are later used in exposure assessment, where the impact of processing, **distribution**, preparation, and consumption of the **food** are incorporated.

EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

Exposure assessment describes the pathways through which a pathogen population is introduced, distributed, and challenged in the production,

distribution, and consumption of **food**. This step differs from hazard identification in that it describes a particular **food** -processing pathway. Depending on the scope of the risk assessment, exposure assessment can begin with **pathogen** prevalence in raw materials (e.g., a "farm-to-fork" risk assessment), or it can begin with the description of the **pathogen** population at subsequent steps (e.g., as input to a **food** -processing step). In any case, the intent of risk assessment is to **track** the **pathogen** population and estimate the likelihood of its being ingested by the consumer. By completing the...

...DOSE-RESPONSE ASSESSMENT

Dose-response assessment is used to translate the final exposure to a pathogen population into a health response in the population of consumers. This step is very difficult because of the shortage of data on pathogen -specific responses and because those responses depend on the immune status of the host (consumer...

...burden and provide rapid responses to "what-if" questions using alternate assumptions and situations. Current **spreadsheet** applications and available "add-ins" allow generation of complicated probabilistic **models** that had previously only been available through expensive custom software.

RISK ASSESSMENT IN ENVIRONMENTAL TOXICOLOGY...

...as the predominant paradigm for describing the public health consequences of human exposure to environmental **contaminants** (8). Within this paradigm, existing situations are measured and compared according to a measure of...

...effectiveness of various regulatory programs is increasingly required on the basis of risk reduction. Microbial **food safety**, as a relative latecomer to the field of risk assessment, can take advantage of its an emerging tool in the field of microbial **food** and water safety (9-12). Recognizing the deficiencies of current approaches to evaluating the risk for human **illness** from **pathogens** in **food**, the Council for **Agricultural** Science and Technology recommended that risk assessment provide the basis for establishing **food safety** priorities and policies (5). Because of recent initiatives advocating the widespread implementation of Hazard Analysis...

...in a risk assessment) is the only acceptable basis for barriers to international trade in **food** (15-18). However, one of the most important benefits in the adoption of quantative risk assessment is improved understanding of the many factors that determine the safety of the **food** supply.

Some resistance to the adoption of risk assessment is likely. Good manufacturing practices and \dots

...on investment in producing a quantitative risk assessment may not be high for an individual **food** company with a very conservative production process. However, good manufacturing practices and outbreak data are not particularly useful in **predicting** the impact of new products, newly recognized **pathogens**, and changes in **food** processing or in comparing international **food** systems. Whether changes in the **food** supply are planned (as in refocused inspection systems and minimally processed foods) or are occurring passively (as in changed **pathogens**, demographics, and consumer behavior), tools are required to assemble the information that describes the impact...

...is a place for all the data from diverse information gathering activities relevant to microbial **food safety**. Recent analyses of pasteurized liquid egg (19) and ground beef contamination (20) incorporated evidence from farm-based studies of **pathogen** prevalence, technology assessments comparing decontamination methods, process-specific parameters of lot size and raw material mixing, growth and death **models** from

predictive microbiology, monitoring studies of transportation and retail
temperature control, and studies of consumption amounts and cooking
preference...

...designing the quantitative risk assessment process as an intelligent information bank, we can develop a **model** to accommodate the breadth of available information. The **model** provides a focus for discussions among workers from diverse disciplines: farmers, veterinarians, **food** -processing experts, microbiologists, and consumer behavior experts. The **model** also allows for consideration and comparison of control strategies for which experimentation would be very...

...impact, for example, of an aging population or a shift in cooking practices can be **simulated** by a variety of assumptions that reflect the extent of the change. By placing all...

...of proposed research.

The most obvious users for quantitative risk assessment as applied to microbial food safety are agencies responsible for food inspection, disease surveillance, and food standards. These agencies have the most to gain from models that incorporate existing and new data, capture knowledge of the relevant features of the food processing and distribution continuum, and capture knowledge of the variability in consumer behavior and immune system responses. If models are constantly updated and improved, decisions made to research, monitor, and control foodborne pathogens can be made with information that lends itself to multidisciplinary discussion and best describes what is currently known and unknown. Without such a model, there is little common ground for the type of collaboration often advocated for addressing the inherent complexity of foodborne disease.

RISK ASSESSMENT CASE EXAMPLES

Two case examples illustrate the prospects of using risk assessment to support decisions regarding emerging foodborne diseases.

ESCHERICHIA COLI 0157:H7 IN GROUND BEEF

A model of E. coli 0157:H7 in ground beef has been developed to support comparative assessment of control strategies (20). The model describes the pathogen population from the production of ground beef (including carcass processing) to consumer cooking and consumption. The variability and uncertainty in the model are accommodated through the use of probabilistic representations for many of the parameters. To generate a representative distribution of risk, the model is simulated many times with different values selected from the probability distributions. This is a technique known as Monte Carlo simulation (20-22).

While the direct output of the model is a distribution of health risk from eating ground beef hamburger patties, a more important use of the model is to describe the changes in health risk associated with changes in various parameters. By changing parameters describing, for example, pathogen prevalence and concentration in raw material, temperature abuse in transportation and retail, consumer cooking preference, infectious dose, and size of susceptible populations, we can study the impact of trends in disease risk factors. Because this model includes the farm-to-fork continuum, it is possible to assess the efficacy of interventions...

... of improved data at different points in the process can be estimated.

TOXOPLASMOSIS

A probabilistic **model** describing the incidence of toxoplasmosis was generated (23). While this **model** did not begin at the raw material level, valuable insights were gained in studying the...
...treated with certain drug therapies, the infection may have a smaller

impact.

With such a $\bmod 1$, the impact of varying risk factors can be studied. Since the most serious consequences of a function of age. The

protection offered by prior infection complicates **disease** therapy; a reduction in exposure to T. gondii could increase incidence of congenital toxoplasmosis by...

...to the complexities of the population immunity profile, various trends in risk factors can be **simulated**, such as trends in cat ownership, consumption of implicated products, and the age **distribution** of pregnancy. The emergence of toxoplasmosis as one of the leading causes of death in...

...strategies (e.g., education and screening programs designed for pregnant women) can be compared to **food** -processing strategies intended to reduce overall exposure.

The model of T. gondii infection provides insight into the importance of detailed hazard identification to understand the complex mechanisms of disease, exposure modeling to understand the time-dependent nature of exposure, and intervention modeling to understand the potential negative consequences of a reduction in overall exposure. Moreover, the results...

...unlikely that a sound decision could be made without a full microbial risk assessment involving **modeling** of the complex nature of population immunity and exposure.

CONCLUSIONS

One of the key benefits of quantitative risk assessment is the development of models describing the complex nature of pathogen populations in the food supply. Improved understanding of the efficacy of pathogen reduction is the most important side effect of this approach. Studies assessing the health impact of a foodborne pathogen often include extensive documentation of pathogen levels at unconnected points in the food and consumer pathway. In contrast, a microbial risk assessment based on a model provides a repository of knowledge describing health risk outcomes and control strategies. The model improves with each new related study and each critical review as more and more relevant...

 \ldots the system is already available in which assumptions and proposed interventions can be tested.

Initially, models can be expected to be crude. However, as a base for discussion, a model can be very effective at soliciting input from experts in the food industry and the public health community. Input from epidemiologists, microbiologists, and industry safety managers can be merged into the model until it represents the best available understanding of the interacting features of the food supply and their effect on the distribution of health risk. Once the model has been developed, the impact of various control strategies and trends can be simulated. Our current inability to compare control strategies at different points of the food supply chain is evidence of the need for a system-level understanding that will improve decision-making capacity.

Decisions to address foodborne pathogens cannot wait for scientific certainty. Large degrees of uncertainty require that decisions be made with

...is no excuse for not making the best decision on the basis of available information. Model -based quantitative risk assessment can provide the decision-maker additional insights not typically evident in...

...meal" considerations of data. The ability to represent the essentially probabilistic nature of emerging foodborne **disease** is another risk assessment attribute not typically achieved by traditional approaches.

Many gains in decision support can be achieved through <code>model</code> -based risk assessment. Given that many current concerns are focused on emerging <code>pathogens</code>, it may be timely to adopt risk assessment as a tool that is well equipped for studying changes and interventions in the race against <code>pathogens</code>.

Added material Anna M. Lammerding Health Canada, Guelph, Ontario, Canada; and Grey M. Paoli Decisionalysis...

...lammerding@inet.hwc.ca.

REFERENCES

- 1. Altekruse SF, Cohen ML, Swerdlow DL. Emerging foodborne diseases . Emerg Infect Dis 1997;3:285-93.
- 2. Hedberg CW, MacDonald KL, Osterholm MT. Changing epidemiology of food -borne disease: a Minnesota perspective. Clin Infect Dis 1994;18:671-82.
- 3. Smith JL, Fratamico PM. Factors involved in the emergence and persistence of **food** -borne **diseases**. Journal of **Food** Protection 1995;58:696-708.
 - 4. Rodricks JV. Risk assessment, the environment, and public health...
- ...Foegeding PM, Roberts T, Bennett JM, Bryan FL, Cliver DO, Doyle MP, et al. Foodborne pathogens: risks and consequences. Ames (IA): Council for Agricultural Science and Technology (CAST) 1994; Task Force Report No.:122.
 - 6. National Research Council. Risk...
- ...CP, Rose JB, Haas CN. Sensitive populations: who is at the greatest risk? Int J Food Microbiol 1996;30:113-23.
 - 8. Commission on Risk Assessment and Risk Management. Framework for...
- ... The Commission; 1997.
- 9. Jaykus L-A. The application of quantitative risk assessment to microbial food safety risks. Crit Rev Microbiol 1996;22:279-93.
- 10. Rose JB, Sobsey MD. Quantitative risk assessment for viral contamination of shellfish and coastal waters. Journal of **Food** Protection 1993;56:1043-50.
- 11. ILSI Risk Science Institute **Pathogen** Risk Assessment Working Group. A conceptual framework to assess the risks of human **disease** following exposure to **pathogens**. Risk Anal 1996;16;841-8.
- 12. Rose JB, Haas CN, Gerba CP. Linking microbial...Buchanan RL, Montville TJ, editors. HACCP: an integrated approach to assuring the microbiological safety of **meat** and poultry. Trumbull (CT): **Food** Nutrition Press;1996.p.159-70.
- 13. Notermans S, Gallhoff G, Zwietering MH, Mead GC. The HACCP concept: specification of criteria using quantitative risk assessment. Food Microbiol 1995;12:81-90.
- 14. Buchanan RL. The role of microbiological criteria and risk assessment in HACCP. Food Microbiol 1995;12:421-4.
- 15. World Health Organization. Application of risk analysis to **food** standards issues. Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation 1995.WHO/FNU/FOS/Report No.95.3.
- 16. Hathaway S. Harmonization requirements under HACCP-based control systems. Food Control 1995;6:267-76.
- 17. Dawson RJ. The role of the Codex Alimentarius Commission in setting **food** standards and the SPS agreement implementation. **Food** Control 1995;6:261-5.
- 18. Hathaway SC, Cook RL. A regulatory perspective on the potential uses of microbial risk assessment in international trade. Int J Food Microbiol 1997;36:127-33.
- 19. Whiting RC, Buchanan RL. Development of a quantitative risk assessment model for Salmonella enteritidis in pasteurized eggs. Int J Food Microbiol 1997;36:111-26.
- 20. Cassin MH, Lammerding AM, Todd ECD, Ross W, McColl S. Quantitative risk assessment of Escherichia coli O157:H7 in ground beef hamburgers. Int J Food Microbiol. In press 1997.
- 21. Thompson KM, Burmaster DE, Crouch EAC. Monte Carlo techniques for \dots
- ...1992;12:53-63.
- 22. Vose D. Quantitative risk analysis: a guide to Monte Carlo simulation modelling. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.;1996.

23. Cassin MH, Lammerding AM, Paoli GM, McColl...

...Risk Analysis. p. 129. 24. Dixon BR. Prevalence and control of toxoplasmosis - a Canadian perspective. Food Control 1992;3:68-75.

14/3,K/22 (Item 1 from file: 266)

DIALOG(R) File 266: FEDRIP

Comp & dist by NTIS, Intl Copyright All Rights Res. All rts. reserv.

00311429

IDENTIFYING NO.: 1U54AI57168-01 AGENCY CODE: CRISP

Defense against Biowarfare and Emerging Infection Agents

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: LEVINE, MYRON M

ADDRESS: MLEVINE@MEDICINE.UMARYLAND.EDU UNIV OF MARYLAND SCH OF MED 685 W BALTIMORE ST, RM 480

PERFORMING ORG.: UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND BALT PROF SCHOOL, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

SPONSORING ORG.: NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ALLERGY AND INFECTIOUS DISEASES DATES: 2009/04/03 TO 2002/28/08 FY: 2003

SPONSORING ORG.: NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ALLERGY AND INFECTIOUS DISEASES ...SUMMARY: Region consortium proposes to establish a Regional Center of Excellence for Biodefense and Emerging Infectious Diseases Research (RCE) whose theme is "Defense Against Bioterror and Emerging Infection Agents." The proposed Research Projects are: 1) Anthrax (new Bacillus anthracis antigens tested in animal models; compounds to impede anthrax infection; mouse model for imaging germination and bacterial distribution; and development of a mucosal live vector prime/parenteral boost anthrax vaccine); 2) Hemorrhagic Fever and other Emerging Viruses (identification/characterization of neutralizing human monoclonal antibodies...

- ... of neutralizing antibody and of vaccinia immune globulin; and development of a mouse ectromelia virus model of smallpox pathogenesis /prevention); 4) Tularemia (conjugate tularemia vaccine; study possible therapy of Francisella tularensis infection using reagents...
- ... individuals exposed to F. tularensis; and attenuated, live-vector tularemia vaccine); 5) Low-Dose Enteric Pathogens (role of type 1 Cryptosporidium parvum candidate genes in pathogenesis /susceptibility to infection as a prelude to vaccine development; Shigella dysenteriae 1 and EHEC vaccines; novel therapeutics for EHEC disease; and diagnostics for detection of these pathogens in water, food , and environmental specimens); and 6) Public Health Response Research (needle-free immunization and vaccine-adjuvanting strategies; immunogenetics of human immune response to smallpox vaccine; and innovative diagnostic platforms for routine clinical use and in known or suspected bioterror events). Three Career Development Projects (to train the next generation of biodefense investigators) and four...

...and Process Development" (in collaboration with Aventis Pasteur Vaccines and Merck Vaccines); a Category A **Bioterror** Agent Clinical **Surveillance** Course; a "hands-on" course on working in BSL-3 facilities; and travel awards for...

Set Sl		Description CROP OR CROPS OR HARVEST OR PRODUCE? OR VEGETABLE? OR MEAT?	
		OR POTATO? OR AGRICULTUR?	
S2	962041		
		ICT? OR FORECAST? OR SURVEILL?	
S3		SPREAD? OR DISTRIBUT? OR FLOW? ? OR ROUTE?	
S4	212030	DISEASE? OR ILLNESS? OR ANTHRAX? OR PLAGUE? OR CONTAMINANT?	
		OR POISON? OR PATHOGEN? OR SMALLPOX?	
S5	1750	S1 AND S2 AND S3 AND S4	
S6	25	S1(2N)S4 AND S5	
s7	1	S6 AND IC=G06F?	
S8	0	S1 AND S2 AND S4 AND SUPPLY() CHAIN?	
S9	221		
S10	4	S9 AND (HEALTH? OR DISEASE? OR SICKNESS? OR BACTERIAL OR V-	
		IRAL OR TERRORIS? OR BIOTERROR? OR BIOLOGICAL()WARFARE?)	
?shov	v files	·	
File	347:JAPI	Nov 1976-2004/Apr(Updated 040802)	
(c) 2004 JPO & JAPIO			
File	350:Derwe	ent WPIX 1963-2004/UD,UM &UP=200450	
•	(c) 2	2004 Thomson Derwent	

CDC Home

Search

Health Topics A-Z

A PROGRAM OF THE <u>FOODBORNE & DIARRHEAL DISEASES BRANCH</u> IFOOD WET

<u>Home</u>

FOODNET INFORMATION

- What is FoodNet?
- FoodNet News
- <u>Diseases &</u> <u>Pathogens Under</u> <u>Surveillance</u>

REPORTS & STUDIES

- Annual Reports
- FoodNet Data Sources
- Publications, Presentations, and MMWR

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- Upcoming & Past Conferences
- Other CDC Activities
- FoodNet Staff
- Related Links
- FoodNet Member Site

FoodNet

Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network CDC's Emerging Infections Program



Click on the states in Red to link to their health departments.

Georgia, Tennessee, Maryland,
Connecticut, New York State, New
Mexico, Oregon, Minnesota, Colorado,
California

Click here to see FoodNet working group members.

What's New

- •Computer-based case studies in epidemiology: E.coli 0157:H7 Infection in Michigan
- Antimicrobial Resistance among Campylobacter Strains, United States, 1997-2001 PDF 141KB
- Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Infection with Pathogens Transmitted Commonly Through Food ---Selected Sites, United States, 2003
- The 2002 FoodNet MMWR Working Group
- Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Foodborne Illnesses -Selected Sites, United
 States, 2002
- See articles from the Clinical Infectious Disease supplement

Home

CDC Home | Search | Health Topics A-Z

This page last revised August 2, 2004

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Center for Infectious Diseases
Division of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases
Foodborne and Diarrheal Diseases Branch

```
Description
Set
       Items
               CROP OR CROPS OR HARVEST OR FOOD OR VEGETABLE? OR MEAT? OR
S1
       812538
             POTATO? OR AGRICULTUR?
                TRACK? OR TRACE? OR MONITOR? OR MODEL? OR SIMULAT? OR PRED-
S2
      3583652
             ICT? OR FORECAST? OR SURVEILL?
                SPREAD? OR SUPPLY() CHAIN? OR DISTRIBUT? OR FLOW? ? OR ROUT-
S3
      2045757
                DISEASE? OR ILLNESS? OR ANTHRAX? OR PLAGUE? OR CONTAMINANT?
      5891120
S4
              OR POISON? OR PATHOGEN? OR SMALLPOX?
               S1 AND S2 AND S3 AND S4
S5
         3663
          754
                S1(2N)S4 AND S5
S6
                BIOTERROR? OR BIOLOGICAL()(WEAPON? OR WARFARE?) OR FOOD()(-
        66255
S7
             SECURIT? OR SAFE?)
          206
                S6 AND S7
S8
S9
          188
                RD (unique items)
                S9 NOT PY>2002
S10
          161
               (S1 OR S4)(2N)S2
       173253
S11
                (S1 OR S4) (2N) S3
S12
        33991
                COMPUTER() (MODEL? OR SIMULAT? OR FORECAST? OR PREDICT?)
S13
        73862
               S10 AND (S11 OR S12 OR S13)
S14
File
      51: Food Sci. & Tech. Abs 1969-2004/Aug W2
         (c) 2004 FSTA IFIS Publishing
File
      63:Transport Res(TRIS) 1970-2004/Jul
         (c) fmt only 2004 Dialog Corp.
      79: Foods Adlibra(TM) 1974-2002/Apr
File
         (c) 2002 General Mills
     73:EMBASE 1974-2004/Aug W1
File
         (c) 2004 Elsevier Science B.V.
File 155:MEDLINE(R) 1951-2004/Aug W1
         (c) format only 2004 The Dialog Corp.
File 587: Jane's Defense&Aerospace 2004/Jul W4
         (c) 2004 Jane's Information Group
```

```
Set
       Items
               Description
             CROP OR CROPS OR HARVEST OR FOOD OR VEGETABLE? OR MEAT? OR
$1
      812538
            POTATO? OR AGRICULTUR?
      3583652 TRACK? OR TRACE? OR MONITOR? OR MODEL? OR SIMULAT? OR PRED-
S2
            ICT? OR FORECAST? OR SURVEILL?
      2045757 SPREAD? OR SUPPLY()CHAIN? OR DISTRIBUT? OR FLOW? ? OR ROUT-
S3
            E?
      5891120 DISEASE? OR ILLNESS? OR ANTHRAX? OR PLAGUE? OR CONTAMINANT?
S4
             OR POISON? OR PATHOGEN? OR SMALLPOX?
        3663 S1 AND S2 AND S3 AND S4
S5
         754 S1(2N)S4 AND S5
S6
        66255 BIOTERROR? OR BIOLOGICAL()(WEAPON? OR WARFARE?) OR FOOD()(-
S7
            SECURIT? OR SAFE?)
          206
               S6 AND S7
               RD (unique items)
         188
         161 S9 NOT PY>2002
      173253 (S1 OR S4)(2N)S2
S11
       33991 (S1 OR S4)(2N)S3
S12
       73862 COMPUTER()(MODEL? OR SIMULAT? OR FORECAST? OR PREDICT?)
S13
         70 S10 AND (S11 OR S12 OR S13)
S14
     51: Food Sci. & Tech. Abs 1969-2004/Aug W2
File
        (c) 2004 FSTA IFIS Publishing
      63:Transport Res(TRIS) 1970-2004/Jul
File
         (c) fmt only 2004 Dialog Corp.
     79: Foods Adlibra (TM) 1974-2002/Apr
File
         (c) 2002 General Mills
File 73:EMBASE 1974-2004/Aug W1
         (c) 2004 Elsevier Science B.V.
File 155:MEDLINE(R) 1951-2004/Aug W1
         (c) format only 2004 The Dialog Corp.
File 587: Jane's Defense&Aerospace 2004/Jul W4
         (c) 2004 Jane's Information Group
```

EMERGING INFECTIOUS DISEASES

Volume 4 Number 3

Past Issues

| Current Issue | Upcoming Issue | Post Issues | Search | Home | July-September 1998

Download

| ASCII Text | Adabe PDF | Pastscript |

Special Issue

Bioterrorism as a Public Health Threat

D.A. Henderson

The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

The threat of bioterrorism, long ignored and denied, has heightened over the past few years. Recent events in Iraq, Japan, and Russia cast an ominous shadow. Two candidate agents are of special concern: smallpox and anthrax. The magnitude of the problems and the gravity of the scenarios associated with release of these organisms have been vividly portrayed by two epidemics of smallpox in Europe during the 1970s and by an accidental release of aerosolized anthrax from a Russian bioweapons facility in 1979. Efforts in the United States to deal with possible incidents involving bioweapons in the civilian sector have only recently begun and have made only limited progress. Only with substantial additional resources at the federal, state, and local levéls can a credible and meaningful response be mounted. For longer-term solutions, the medical community must educate both the public and policy makers about bioterrorism and build a global consensus condemning its use.

Until recently, biological terrorism had been little discussed or written about. Until recently, I had doubts about publicizing the subject because of concern that it might entice some to undertake dangerous, perhaps catastrophic experiments. However, events of the past 12 to 18 months have made it clear that likely perpetrators already envisage every possible scenario.

Four points of view prevalent among national policy circles and the academic community at various times have served to dismiss biological terrorism as nothing more than a theoretical possibility. I) Biological weapons have so seldom been deployed that precedent would suggest they will not be used. 2) Their use is so morally repugnant that no one would deign to use them. 3) The science of producing enough organisms and dispersing them is so difficult that it is within the reach of only the most sophisticated laboratories. 4) Like the concept of a "nuclear winter," the potential destructiveness of bioweapons is essentially unthinkable and so to be dismissed. Each of these arguments is without validity.

Nations and dissident groups exist that have both the motivation and access to skills to selectively cultivate some of the most dangerous pathogens and to deploy them as agents in acts of terrorism or war. After the Gulf War, Iraq was discovered to have a large biological weapons program. In 1995, Iraq confirmed that it had produced, filled, and deployed bombs, rockets, and aircraft spray tanks containing *Bacillus anthracis* and botulinum toxin (1,2); its work force and technologic infrastructure are still wholly intact. Also in 1995, the Japanese cult, Aum Shinrikyo, released the nerve gas Sarin in the Tokyo subway. The cult also had plans for biological terrorism (3); included in its arsenal were large quantities of nutrient media, botulinum toxin, anthrax cultures, and drone aircraft equipped with spray tanks. Members of this group had traveled to Zaire in 1992 to obtain samples of Ebola virus for weapons development.

Of more recent concern is the status of one of Russia's largest and most sophisticated former bioweapons facilities, called Vector, in Koltsovo, Novosibirsk. Through the early 1990s, this was a 4,000-person, 30-building facility with ample biosafety level 4 laboratory facilities, used for the isolation of both specimens and human cases. Situated on an open plain surrounded by electric fences and protected by an elite guard, the facility housed the smallpox virus as well as work on Ebola, Marburg, and the hemorrhagic fever viruses (e.g., Machupo and Crimean-Congo). A visit in the autumn of 1997 found a half-empty facility protected by a handful of guards who had not been paid for months (P. Jahrling, pers. comm., 1998). No one can say where the scientists have gone, nor is there confidence now that this is the only storage site for smallpox virus outside the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

The number of countries engaged in biological weapons experimentation has grown from 4 in the 1960s to 11 in the 1990s (4). Meanwhile, the bombing of the World Trade Center and the Oklahoma City Federal Building have dramatized the serious problems even small dissident groups can cause.

A comprehensive review of the problems posed by biological terrorism and warfare has been published (5). Four observations deserve special note. First, biological terrorism is more likely than ever before and far more threatening than either explosives or chemicals. Second, official actions directed at the threat to the civilian population (less than 2 years in the making) have been only marginally funded and minimally supported (6). Third, preventing or countering bioterrorism will be extremely difficult. Recipes for making biological weapons are now available on the Internet, and even groups with modest finances and basic training in biology and engineering could develop, should they wish, an effective weapon (7) at little cost. Fourth, detection or interdiction of those intending to use biological weapons is next to impossible. Thus, the first evidence of such weapons will almost certainly be cases in hospital emergency rooms. Specialists in infectious diseases thus constitute the front line of defense. The rapidity with which they and emergency room personnel reach a proper diagnosis and the speed with which they apply preventive and therapeutic measures could spell the difference between thousands and perhaps tens of thousands of casualties. Indeed, the survival of physicians and health-care staff caring for the patients may be at stake. However, today few have ever seen so much as a single case of smallpox, plague, or anthrax, or, for that matter, would recall the characteristics of such cases. Few, if any, diagnostic laboratories are prepared to confirm promptly such diagnoses.

Of a long list of potential pathogens, only a handful are reasonably easy to prepare and disperse and can inflict sufficiently severe disease to paralyze a city and perhaps a nation. In April 1994, Anatoliy Vorobyov, a Russian bioweapons expert, presented to a working group of the National Academy of Sciences the conclusions of Russian experts as to the agents most likely to be used (8). Smallpox headed the list followed closely by anthrax and plague. None of these agents has so far effectively been deployed as a biological weapon, and thus no real world events exist to provide likely scenarios. However, we have had several well-documented smallpox importations into Europe over recent decades; two bear recounting.

Smallpox is caused by a virus spread from person to person; infected persons have a characteristic fever and rash. Virus infection invariably results in symptomatic disease. There are no mild, subclinical infections among unvaccinated persons. After an incubation period of 10 to 12 days, the patient has high fever and pain. Then a rash begins with small papules developing into pustules on day 7 to 8 and finally changing to scabs around day 12. Between 25% and 30% of all unvaccinated patients die of the disease. There was, and is, no specific treatment.

Until 1980, essentially all countries conducted vaccination programs of some sort, whether or not they had endemic disease (9). Until 1972, the United States mandated smallpox vaccination for all children at school entry, although the last cases had occurred in 1949, 23 years before. In the United Kingdom, four

standby hospitals were to be opened only if smallpox cases were imported, and in Germany, two state-of-the-art isolation hospitals were constructed in the 1960s specifically for the isolation of smallpox cases should they occur.

In 1962, the initial response of U.S. officials to the occurrence of a single case of smallpox illustrated extreme concern. That year, a young Canadian boy returned from Brazil, traveling by air to New York and by train to Toronto by way of Albany and Buffalo (10). Shortly after arrival in Toronto, he developed a rash and was hospitalized. In response to this single case, senior U.S. government officials seriously considered a plan of action that called for the border with Canada to be closed, for mass vaccination campaigns to be conducted in all cities along the route from New York through Albany, Syracuse, Rochester, and Buffalo, and for vaccination of all who had been in Grand Central Station on the day the Canadian boy was there. Sensibly, this plan was soon scrapped for more modest measures, albeit not without considerable debate.

The potential of aerosolized smallpox to spread over a considerable distance and to infect at low doses was vividly demonstrated in an outbreak in Germany in 1970 (11). That year, a German electrician returning from Pakistan became ill with high fever and diarrhea. On January 11, he was admitted to a local hospital and was isolated in a separate room on the ground floor because it was feared he might have typhoid fever. He had contact with only two nurses over the next 3 days. On January 14 a rash developed, and on January 16 the diagnosis of smallpox was confirmed. He was immediately transported to one of Germany's special isolation hospitals, and more than 100,000 persons were promptly vaccinated. The hospital had been closed to visitors because of an influenza outbreak for several days before the patient was admitted. After the diagnosis of smallpox, other hospital patients and staff were quarantined for 4 weeks and were vaccinated; very ill patients received vaccinia-immune globulin first. However, the smallpox patient had had a cough, a symptom seldom seen with smallpox; coughing can produce a large-volume, small-particle aerosol like what might occur after its use as a terrorist weapon. Subsequently, 19 cases occurred in the hospital, including four in other rooms on the patient's floor, eight on the floor above, and nine on the third floor. Two were contact cases. One of the cases was in a visitor who had spent fewer than 15 minutes in the hospital and had only briefly opened a corridor door, easily 30 feet from the patient's room, to ask directions. Three of the patients were nurses, one of whom died. This outbreak occurred in a well-vaccinated population.

An outbreak in Yugoslavia in February 1972 also illustrates the havoc created even by a small number of cases. Yugoslavia's last case of smallpox had occurred in 1927. Nevertheless, Yugoslavia, like most countries, had continued populationwide vaccination to protect against imported cases. In 1972, a pilgrim returning from Mecca became ill with an undiagnosed febrile disease. Friends and relatives visited from a number of different areas; 2 weeks later, 11 of them became ill with high fever and rash. The patients were not aware of each other's illness, and their physicians (few of whom had ever seen a case of smallpox) failed to make a correct diagnosis.

One of the 11 patients was a 30-year-old teacher who quickly became critically ill with the hemorrhagic form, a form not readily diagnosed even by experts. The teacher was first given penicillin at a local clinic, but as he became increasingly ill, he was transferred to a dermatology ward in a city hospital, then to a similar ward in the capital city, and finally to a critical care unit because he was bleeding profusely and in shock. He died before a definitive diagnosis was made. He was buried 2 days before the first case of smallpox was recognized.

The first cases were correctly diagnosed 4 weeks after the first patient became ill, but by then, 150 persons were already infected; of these, 38 (including two physicians, two nurses, and four other hospital staff) were infected by the young teacher. The cases occurred in widely separated areas of the country. By the time of diagnosis, the 150 secondary cases had already begun to expose yet another

generation, and, inevitably, questions arose as to how many other yet undetected cases there might be.

Health authorities launched a nationwide vaccination campaign. Mass vaccination clinics were held, and checkpoints along roads were established to examine vaccination certificates. Twenty million persons were vaccinated. Hotels and residential apartments were taken over, cordoned off by the military, and all known contacts of cases were forced into these centers under military guard. Some 10,000 persons spent 2 weeks or more in isolation. Meanwhile, neighboring countries closed their borders. Nine weeks after the first patient became ill, the outbreak stopped. In all, 175 patients contracted smallpox, and 35 died.

What might happen if smallpox were released today in a U.S. city? First, routine vaccination stopped in the United States in 1972. Some travelers, many military recruits, and a handful of laboratory workers were vaccinated over the following 8 years. Overall, however, it is doubtful that more than 10% to 15% of the population today has residual smallpox immunity. If some modest volume of virus were to be released (perhaps by exploding a light bulb containing virus in a Washington subway), the event would almost certainly go unnoticed until the first cases with rash began to appear 9 or 10 days later. With patients seen by different physicians (who almost certainly had never before seen a smallpox case) in different clinics, several days would probably elapse before the diagnosis of smallpox was confirmed and an alarm was sounded.

Even if only 100 persons were infected and required hospitalization, a group of patients many times larger would become ill with fever and rash and receive an uncertain diagnosis. Some would be reported from other cities and other states. Where would all of these patients be admitted? In the Washington, D.C., metropolitan area, no more than 100 hospital beds provide adequate isolation. Who would care for the patients? Few hospital staff have any smallpox immunity. Moreover, one or two patients with severe hemorrhagic cases (which typically have very short incubation periods), who would have been hospitalized before smallpox was suspected, would have been cared for by a large, unprotected intensive care team.

What of contacts? In past outbreaks, contacts of confirmed or suspected cases numbered in the thousands, if not tens of thousands. What measures should or could be taken to deal with such numbers? Would patients be isolated as in Yugoslavia, and if so, where? Logistics could be simplified if rapid, easily used laboratory tests could confirm or rule out smallpox among suspected cases. At present, however, such tests are known only to scientists in two government laboratories.

An immediate clamor for mass vaccination (as in the outbreaks in Germany and Yugoslavia) can be predicted. U.S. stocks of smallpox vaccine are nominally listed at 15 million doses, but with packaging, the useful number of doses is perhaps half that number. How widely and quickly should this vaccine be used? Were vaccine to be limited strictly to close contacts of confirmed cases, comparatively few doses would be needed. However, the realities of dealing with even a small epidemic would almost certainly preclude such a cautious, measured vaccination effort. Vaccine reserves would rapidly disappear, and there is, at present, no manufacturing capacity to produce additional vaccine. If an emergency effort were made to produce new stocks of smallpox vaccine, many months to a year or more would be required.

What of anthrax, which has been so enthusiastically embraced by both Iraq and the Aum Shinrikyo? The organism is easy to produce in large quantity. In its dried form, it is extremely stable. The effect of aerosolized anthrax on humans once had to be inferred from animal experiments and the occasional human infection among workers in factories processing sheep and goat hides (12). It was clear that inhalation of anthrax is highly lethal. Just how lethal became evident in the 1979 Sverdlovsk epidemic (13).

In all, 77 cases were identified with certainty; 66 patients died. The actual total number of cases was probably considerably more than 100. The persons affected lived or worked somewhere within a narrow zone extending some 4 km south and east of a military bioweapons facility. An accidental airborne release of anthrax spores occurred during a single day and may well have lasted no more than minutes. Further investigations revealed anthrax deaths among sheep and cows in six different villages up to 50 km southeast of the military compound along the same axis as the human cases.

Of the 58 patients with known dates of disease onset, only 9 had symptoms within a week after exposure; some became ill as late as 6 weeks after exposure. Whether the onset of illness occurred sooner or later, death almost always followed within 1 to 4 days after onset. However, there appeared to be a somewhat higher proportion of survivors after the fourth week. This almost certainly resulted from the widespread application of penicillin prophylaxis and anthrax vaccine, both of which were distributed in mid-April throughout a population of 59,000.

Meselson and his colleagues, who documented this outbreak, calculate that the weight of spores released as an aerosol could have been as little as a few milligrams or as much as "nearly a gram." Iraq acknowledged producing at least 8,000 L of solution with an anthrax spore and cell count of 109/ml (1). The ramifications of even a modest-sized release of anthrax spores in a city are profound. Emergency rooms would begin seeing a few patients with high fever and some difficulty breathing perhaps 3 to 4 days after exposure. By the time the patients were seen, it is almost certain that it would be too late for antibiotic therapy. All patients would die within 24 to 48 hours. No emergency room physicians or infectious disease specialists have ever seen a case of inhalation anthrax; medical laboratories have had virtually no experience in its diagnosis. Thus, at least 3 to 5 days would elapse before a definitive diagnosis would be made.

Once anthrax was diagnosed, one would be faced with the prospect of what to do over the succeeding 6 to 8 weeks. Should vaccine be administered to those who might have been exposed? At present, little vaccine is available, and no plan exists to produce any for civilian use. Should antibiotics be administered prophylactically? If so, which antibiotics, and what should be the criteria for exposure? What quantity would be required to treat an exposed population of perhaps 500,000 over a 6-week period? Should one be concerned about additional infections resulting from anthrax spores subsequently resuspended and inhaled by others? Should everyone who has been anywhere near the city report to a local physician for treatment at the first occurrence of fever or cough, however mild? Undoubtedly, many would have such symptoms, especially in the winter; how can such symptoms be distinguished from the premonitory symptoms of anthrax that may proceed to death within 24 to 48 hours?

We are ill-prepared to deal with a terrorist attack that employs biological weapons. In countering civilian terrorism, the focus (a modest extension of existing protocols to deal with a hazard materials incident) has been almost wholly on chemical and explosive weapons. A chemical release or a major explosion is far more manageable than the biological challenges posed by smallpox or anthrax. After an explosion or a chemical attack, the worst effects are quickly over, the dimensions of the catastrophe can be defined, the toll of injuries and deaths can be ascertained, and efforts can be directed to stabilization and recovery. Not so following the use of smallpox or anthrax. Day after relentless day, additional cases could be expected, and in new areas.

The specter of biological weapons use is an ugly one, every bit as grim and foreboding as that of a nuclear winter. As was done in response to the nuclear threat, the medical community should educate the public and policy makers about the threat. We need to build on the 1972 Biological and Toxin Weapons Convention to strengthen measures prohibiting the development and production of biological weapons and to ensure compliance with existing agreements. In a broader sense, we need a strong moral consensus condemning biological weapons.

But this is not enough. In the longer term, we need to be as prepared to detect, diagnose, characterize epidemiologically, and respond appropriately to biological weapons use as to the threat of new and reemerging infections. In fact, the needs are convergent. We need at international, state, and local levels a greater capacity for surveillance; a far better network of laboratories and better diagnostic instruments; and a more adequate cadre of trained epidemiologists, clinicians, and researchers.

On the immediate horizon, we cannot delay the development and implementation of strategic plans for coping with civilian bioterrorism. The needed stocking of vaccines and drugs as well as the training and mobilization of health workers, both public and private, at state, city, and local levels will require time. Knowing well what little has been done, I can only say that a mammoth task lies before us.

D.A. Henderson is a distinguished service professor at the Johns Hopkins University, with appointments in the Departments of Health and Epidemiology, School of Hygiene and Public Health. Dr. Henderson directed the World Health Organization's global smallpox eradication campaign (1966-1977) and helped initiate WHO's global program of immunization in 1974. He also served in the federal government as deputy assistant secretary and senior science advisor in the Department of Health and Human Services.

References

- 1. Ekeus R. Iraq's biological weapons programme: UNSCOM's experience. Memorandum report to the United Nations Security Council; 1996 20 Nov; New York.
- 2. Zalinskas RA. Iraq's biological weapons: the past as future? JAMA 1997;278:418-24.
- 3. Daplan E, Marchell A. The cult at the end of the world. New York: Crown Publishing Group; 1996.
- 4. Roberts B. New challenges and new policy priorities for the 1990s. In: Biologic weapons: weapons of the future. Washington: Center for Strategic and International Studies; 1993.
- 5. Bioweapons and bioterrorism. JAMA 1997;278:351-70, 389-436.
- 6. Tucker JB. National health and medical services response to incidents of chemical and biological terrorism. JAMA 1997;285:362-8.
- 7. Danzig R, Berkowsky PB. Why should we be concerned about biological warfare? JAMA 1997;285:431-2.
- 8. Vorobyov A. Criterion rating as a measure of probable use of bio agents as biological weapons. In: Papers presented to the Working Group on Biological Weapons Control of the Committee on International Security and Arms Control, National Academy of Sciences; 1994 Apr; Washington.
- 9. Fenner F, Henderson DA, Arita I, Jezek Z, Ladnyi I. Smallpox and its eradication. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1988.
- 10. Epidemiologic report. Smallpox, Canada. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1962;11:258.
- 11. Wehrle PF, Posch J, Richter KH, Henderson DA. An airborne outbreak of smallpox in a German hospital and its significance with respect to other recent outbreaks in Europe. Bull World Health Organ 1970;4:669-79.
- 12. Brachman PS, Friedlander AM. Anthrax. In: Plotkin SA, Mortimer EA, editors. Vaccines. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1994.
- 13. Meselson M, Guillemin V, Hugh-Jones M, Langmuir A, Popova I, Shelokov A, et al. The Sverdlovsk anthrax outbreak of 1979. Science 1994;266:1202-8.

Top of Page | Current Issue | Upcoming Issue | Past Issue | Search | Home

NCID Home CDC Home

Emerging Infectious Diseases National Center for Infectious Diseases Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, GA

URL: http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/EID/vol4no3/hendrsn.htm

Updated: 11/16/1998 15:43:05

記 - = · 9

03806868/9

DIALOG(R) File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03806868 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGSI98056868 (THIS IS THE FULLTEXT) The threat of things biological.

VanderMeer, Dan C

Environmental Health Perspectives (Environ Health Perspect) v. 106 no6 (June '98) p. A280-A282

SPECIAL FEATURES: il ISSN: 0091-6765

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

RECORD TYPE: Abstract; Fulltext RECORD STATUS: Corrected or revised

record

WORD COUNT: 2784

ABSTRACT: Biological threats and the way in which they can be addressed are discussed. The biological threats facing the world today include previously unknown infections such as the Ebola virus, HIV/AIDS, Lyme and Legionnaires' diseases, and deadly new strains of E. coli and Staphylococcus bacteria. Other threats are bacterial resistance to antibiotics and the development of biological warfare agents. Scientists, public health officials, policy makers, governments, and the public are trying to determine how to predict the spread of emerging infectious diseases and how to protect against their effects. Decisions must be made regarding which threats are the most urgent, how funding should be distributed for control and research, how to provide advice, how to establish health priorities, and how to design interventions to deal with the hazards.

TEXT:

Just as medical science developed the vaccines and drugs believed necessary to conquer infectious diseases such as smallpox, polio, measles, tuberculosis, whooping cough, and diphtheria, a new generation of risks to public health is emerging. Previously unknown infections such as the Ebola virus, HIV/AIDS, Lyme and Legionnaire's diseases, and deadly new strains of E. coli and Staphylococcus bacteria threaten public health worldwide. In addition, resistance to antibiotics is reaching epidemic proportions according to some scientists, and anthrax spores and botulism toxin have been produced and stockpiled for use as possible biological warfare agents.

In the face of these biological threats, scientists, the public, public health officials, policy makers, and governments are seeking answers to the questions of how to predict the spread of emerging infectious diseases and how to protect against their effects. In assessing the risk of biological agents, decisions will need to be made as to which of these threats to public health are most urgent, how to distribute funds for control and research, and how to provide advice, set public health priorities, and design interventions to deal with the newest versions of the oldest environmental hazards.

WHAT TYPE OF RISK?

The processes employed by scientists to assess and quantify risks differ depending on whether the threat is a chemical or physical hazard or a biological agent. Epidemiology, the study of the distribution and dynamics of diseases through human populations, provides a scientific basis for the evaluation of risk from biological agents. Most epidemics of infectious disease are characterized by acute symptoms that appear after relatively short incubation periods and can be reliably diagnosed from clinical signs and laboratory tests. Infectious disease epidemiologists can determine "attack rates," or the relative infectiousness and survival rates for infectious diseases. From these and other data, including the availability and effectiveness of treatments, they can also ascertain the severity of risk from uncontrolled disease outbreaks as compared to other infectious diseases. Epidemiologists also use mortality rates or incidence of severe disabilities to calculate "years of potential life lost" or "years of potential productivity lost" from debilitating infectious diseases such as maternal rubella infection or polio. Such estimates are used to support recommendations for funding of prevention programs for infectious diseases and other public health problems.

Although epidemiology is also a valuable discipline in helping to characterize risks from long-term, chronic exposures to environmental chemical and physical hazards, there are fundamental differences between these exposures and effects and those associated with biological agents. Environmental chemical exposures are most often associated with chronic diseases with long incubation periods, and multiple etiologies. Their effects are not easily diagnosed in the early stages by either physical examination or laboratory studies. The risks to human health from hazardous chemical exposures often must be weighed against the benefits of economic and industrial development and an abundant food supply. Balancing risks with benefits implies the ability to accurately measure risks. If these risks are unacceptably high, regulations implementing engineering and other controls are enacted to manage them. Unlike most chemical hazards, disease-causing biological agents are generally viewed as naturally occurring entities with no associated benefit.

Throughout the 1960s and 1970s, public concern about environmental chemicals and radiation increased and worries about infectious disease declined. Federal agencies were created and given broad regulatory responsibilities for environmental, occupational, and consumer product safety. These agencies adopted a process called risk assessment to identify and quantify the health risks from exposures to chemical and physical agents. In March 1983, the National Academy of Sciences' National Research Council (NRC) published Risk Assessment in the Federal Government: Managing the Process. The study was commissioned by Congress to assist federal regulatory agencies in strengthening the reliability and objectivity of scientific assessments that provide a basis for regulatory policies applicable to chemical carcinogens and other nonbiological public health hazards. The report defined quantitative risk assessment as a four-step process:

* Hazard identification--the determination of whether a particular chemical is or is not causally liked to particular health effects;

* Dose-response assessment--the determination of the relationship between the magnitude of exposure and the probability of occurrence of the health effect in question;

* Exposure assessment--the determination of the extent of human exposure before or after the application of regulatory controls;

* Risk characterization -- the description of the nature and often the

magnitude of human risk, including attendant uncertainty.

The NRC report validated the fundamental principles of quantitative risk assessment used by federal regulatory agencies for environmental and occupational hazards, and risk assessment has become the fundamental tool used by environmental public health experts to estimate the magnitude of the threat to public health from chemical and physical hazards.

BIOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

Assessing the risk to human health from biological agents has taken a separate course. While federal research funding for cancers and other chronic diseases with presumed environmental etiologies increased, infectious and communicable disease programs and research have not fared as well. According to some critics, policy makers have assumed that modern vaccines, good sanitation, and food safety would continue to reduce the incidence of communicable diseases. To a limited extent, this optimism has been warranted, but it has failed to anticipate either the ability of biological agents to evade the armaments of modern medicine or the emergence of new, virulent infections that defy the best of modern medicine.

In 1973, smallpox virus escaped from a laboratory in London, causing widespread public alarm and initiating international efforts to assure that infectious agents in laboratories and research institutions are regulated and controlled. In the United States, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) developed guidelines for laboratory safety that include four levels of containment of human pathogens based on the magnitude of the risk of the agent to human health if released:

* Level $\tilde{1}$ --no or very low individual and community risk. The microorganism is unlikely to cause human or animal disease;

* Level 2--moderate individual risk and low community risk. The pathogen can cause human or animal disease but is unlikely to be a serious hazard to laboratory workers, the community, livestock, or the environment. Laboratory-acquired exposures may cause serious infection, but effective treatment and preventive measures are available and the risk of spread of infection is limited;

* Level 3--high individual risk and low community risk. The pathogen usually causes serious human or animal disease but does not ordinarily spread from one infected individual to another. Effective treatment and

preventive measures are available;

* Level 4--high individual risk and community risk. The pathogen usually causes serious human or animal disease and can be readily transmitted from one individual to another, directly or indirectly. Effective treatment and preventive measures are not usually available.

Under prevailing World Health Organization (WHO) convention, each member nation assigns biological agents to one of these categories based on such variables as pathogenicity, modes of transmission, levels of immunity in the local population, presence and control of vectors, and availability of preventive and therapeutic measures. In the United States, the CDC makes these determinations. The scientific process for classifying well-characterized pathogens such as smallpox is rather straightforward. But for emerging infectious diseases where data are limited, decisions must be based on preliminary epidemiological data, modeling, and a public health tradition that dictates the most conservative approach to unknown risks.

HIV/AIDS is one of the emerging infectious diseases for which policy makers and the public demanded estimates of risk to public health when very little data were available. According to Philip Cooley, a statistician and survey research expert at Research Triangle Institute in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, once the retrovirus that causes AIDS had been identified and characterized, "Our priority was to model the spread of the infection so that public health officials could reliably publicize the risks of disease from various sexual and/or drug use behaviors." He adds, "These models were also critical to epidemiologists in making estimates of the spread of the HIV/AIDS epidemic in high-risk populations." The earliest HIV/AIDS risk models were based heavily on assumptions, and like the earliest assessments of chemical risks, they suffered from limited data characterizing the hazard or delineating the routes of exposure and dose response. However, as scientists tested the assumptions and as research provided more data, the models have became more accurate predictors. According to Cooley, the major value of modeling the spread of an emerging infectious disease through the population is in developing control strategies for emerging infectious diseases. For example, in the 26 July 1996 issue of Science, Sally Blower, a researcher in the department of biostatistics and epidemiology at the University of California at San Francisco, presents a theoretical framework for an eradication strategy for tuberculosis (TB) in developed and emerging nations. Blower modeled the transmission of TB in patients and derived estimates of the minimum treatment levels of patients and their contacts needed to eradicate TB. Cooley notes that "Blower has assimilated much of the seminal work in modeling the spread of infectious disease epidemics into a practical set of recommendations to the control of the worldwide tuberculosis epidemic." However, critics charge that while such models provide health officials with the elements to potentially reduce disease rates and control epidemics, they do not offer comparative quantifications of the magnitude of risks from biological agents.

Pathogens are selected as potential biological warfare and terrorism agents based on their high probability of causing a very high incidence of death or severe incapacitation. If such agents were used, scientists predict that the victims would overwhelm the health care delivery system and traditional public health interventions for protecting the general population. This reality is addressed in the August 1997 issue of the Journal of the American Medical Association, which is devoted entirely to the threat of biological warfare and biological terrorism. David Franz, a veterinary pathologist at the U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases at Ft. Detrick, Maryland, and lead author of the survey article on clinical recognition and management, included estimates prepared by the WHO in 1970 that, for example, 50 kg of Bacillus anthracis dispensed from an aircraft 20 km upwind of a city of 500,000 would kill 220,000

people. Franz's paper provides information on recognition, diagnosis, and treatment of the diseases caused by the pathogens most likely to be used by terrorists. Franz argues for a system of surveillance by physicians educated to recognize and report diseases caused by bioterrorism agents. The system would alert health officials quickly so that appropriate therapy could be initiated and the impact of a terrorist attack greatly reduced. He advocates education of all private physicians in the diagnosis and treatment of diseases caused by biological warfare and bioterrorism agents. But these measures have not yet taken place, in part because such programs would be expensive and present significant logistical difficulties. Measures such as creating a national surveillance system, manufacturing, stockpiling, and properly storing vaccines, and putting a vaccine delivery system in place to immediately immunize thousands of citizens face similar challenges.

TAKING MEASURES

The federal Anti-Terrorism Act authorized the CDC to issue risk-based regulations controlling the transfer and use of hazardous agents in the United States with the goal of preventing access for use in domestic or international terrorism. The CDC issued regulations in April 1997 that identified 24 infectious agents and 12 toxins that pose a significant risk to public health. These biological agents were selected based on the threat posed to human health from exposure, the contagiousness of the agent, the ease of methods by which the agent is transmitted through the population, and the availability and effectiveness of immunization and treatment. Both Franz and CDC experts point out that surveillance by physicians educated to recognize and report diseases caused by these restricted biological agents would alert health officials quickly so that appropriate therapy could be initiated and the impact of a terrorist attack greatly reduced.

Arnold Kaufmann, a medical epidemiologist recently retired from the CDC, and Martin Meltzer, a CDC health economist, writing in the April-June 1997 issue of the Journal of Emerging Infectious Diseases, modeled the impact of a terrorist attack using B. anthracis and estimated risks as measured by the economic costs. They reported a cost of \$26.2 billion per 100,000 persons exposed. They argue that the nation cannot afford to not develop a system of surveillance, and establish a program to assure rapid, post-attack prophylaxis. Using an economic argument for interventions to protect human health from the risks of biological agents is a step toward harmonization of the differences between risk assessments for chemical and biological hazards. Another example of the convergence of the approaches to these problems and the scientific methods to assess risk can be found in recent proposals to improve food safety.

The World Trade Organization adopted the International Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures to give guidance to countries in developing consistent regulations to control the food-borne human and plant pathogens that may be imported on foods. Under the international covenant, these regulations must be risk-based. Anna Lammerding, chief of microbial food safety risk assessment for Health and Welfare Canada, proposes using the four-step process used in quantitative risk assessment for environmental chemicals as a "new strategy for evaluating and managing food safety risks that arise from changes in pathogens, food preparation, distribution, consumption, and population immunity that have the potential to adversely affect human health." Nell Ahl, director of the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Office of Risk Assessment and Cost Benefit Analysis, compares and contrasts toxicological and chemical risk assessments developed over the past 30 years with the procedures used to assess risks from imported plant pests and livestock diseases, as well as food safety for human health. Ahl says, "Biological risk assessments present great challenges because of the variability of individual pathogens as well as the fact that the variability of individuals affected by these pathogens adds another level of complexity." She adds, "The USDA uses scenario or pathway analysis and probabilistic methods to trace the hazard from the initiating event to the occurrence of the hazard, making use of probability density functions to express what is known and what is not known about the movement of the hazard through the pathway." The USDA has not yet formally adopted these procedures, not have they become the standard for justifying the regulations controlling the

import of human and plant pathogens on foods and other agricultural products into the United States. Ahl wants to be sure that the four-step process delineated in the NRC report can be adapted in a scientifically rigorous manner for biological purposes. Lammerding is quite optimistic that the quantitative risk-assessment model for chemical hazards can be adapted. She and her colleagues are using it as the general approach to assessing risks from food-borne pathogens.

The 1983 NRC report concluded, "Dissatisfaction with the actions of federal regulatory agencies is often expressed as criticism of the conduct and administration of the risk assessment process. The committee believes that the basic problem in risk assessment is the sparseness and uncertainty of the scientific knowledge of the health hazard addressed, and this problem has no ready solution. The field has been developing rapidly and the greatest improvements in risk assessment result from acquisition of more and better data, which decreases the need to rely on inference and informed judgment." Clearly this statement is true today for both chemical and biological risk assessments. In particular, as recognition grows that both old and new infectious agents continue to threaten public health, assessing these risks is dependent on aggressive pursuit of scientific knowledge and a rational process to use this knowledge to quantitate these risks and to design effective interventions.

DESCRIPTORS:

Biological warfare; Risk; Environmental health; Emergency planning

Items Description Set 16925928 MODEL? OR SIMULAT? S1107765 S2 BUT?

(FOOD OR PRODUCT OR CROP OR VEGETABLE OT FRUIT) (W) DISTRI-

(FOOD OR PRODUCT OR CROP OR VEGETABLE OR FRUIT) (W) DISTRI-108835 S3

BUT?

S4 14455 S1 AND S3 S5 510 S1 (3N) S3

S5 AND CONTAMINAT?

CONTAMINAT? OR BIOSECURITY OR BIOTERRORISM S7

S5 AND S7 **S8**

?t s8/medium,k/all

86

>>>KWIC option is not available in file(s): 241

>>>"MEDIUM" is not a valid format name in file(s): 36

(Item 1 from file: 155) 8/K/1

DIALOG(R) File 155: MEDLINE(R)

(c) format only 2004 The Dialog Corp. All rts. reserv.

13682798 PMID: 9371434

Regioselectivity of nitroglycerin denitration by flavoprotein nitroester reductases purified from two Pseudomonas species.

Blehert D S; Knoke K L; Fox B G; Chambliss G H

Department of Bacteriology, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison 53706, USA.

Journal of bacteriology (UNITED STATES) Nov 1997, 179 (22) p6912-20,

Journal Code: 2985120R ISSN 0021-9193

Contract/Grant No.: 5T32GM08349; GM; NIGMS

Document type: Journal Article

Languages: ENGLISH

Main Citation Owner: NLM Record type: Completed

... Pseudomonas capable of utilizing nitroglycerin (NG) as a sole nitrogen source were isolated from NG- contaminated soil and identified as Pseudomonas putida II-B and P. fluorescens I-C. While 9...

... dependent denitration reactions were consistent with a single enzyme being responsible for the in vivo product distributions . Simulation of the product formation kinetics by numerical integration showed that the P. putida enzyme produced...

a 1.3-fold selectivity for the C-1 nitro group was determined. of the distributions from the P. Comparable simulations product fluorescens enzyme showed that NG was denitrated with a 4.6-fold...

(Item 1 from file: 15) DIALOG(R) File 15:ABI/Inform(R)

(c) 2004 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

02325583 110301373

Cosmetic preparedness (part II)

Geffken, Carl

Global Cosmetic Industry v170n2 PP: 12 Feb 2002

JRNL CODE: DCI ISSN: 1523-9470

WORD COUNT: 659

... TEXT: event of product tampering, it will be critical to quickly identify the nature of any contamination , how it can be isolated, and how to keep the product secure from causing harm...

... about the source and identity of ingredients and packaging materials throughout the manufacturing process and product distribution . The for documentation of each step in your process comes from the pharmaceutical industry and now...

(Item 1 from file: 148) 8/K/3 DIALOG(R) File 148: Gale Group Trade & Industry DB (c) 2004 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

(USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT) SUPPLIER NUMBER: 17976177 08463178 Banks waking up to Java as a model for product distribution . (computer language) (Management Strategies)

MacRae, Desmond

American Banker, v161, n28, p6A(2)

Feb 12, 1996

RECORD TYPE: Fulltext; Abstract ISSN: 0002-7561 LANGUAGE: English LINE COUNT: 00108 WORD COUNT: 1350

Banks waking up to Java as a model for product distribution . (computer language) (Management Strategies)

code. The applet contains code identifying it as a legitimate source of the information. Thus, contamination by viruses is automatically limited. But this claim will have to be well tested before...

(Item 1 from file: 34) 8/K/4 DIALOG(R) File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci (c) 2004 Inst for Sci Info. All rts. reserv.

Genuine Article#: YG075 No. References: 44 Title: Regioselectivity of nitroglycerin denitration by flavoprotein nitroester reductases purified from two Pseudomonas species Author(s): Blehert DS; Knoke KL; Fox BG; Chambliss GH (REPRINT) Corporate Source: UNIV WISCONSIN, COLL AGR & LIFE SCI, DEPT BACTERIOL, RM 225 EB FRED HALL/MADISON//WI/53706 (REPRINT); UNIV WISCONSIN, COLL AGR & LIFE SCI, DEPT BACTERIOL/MADISON//WI/53706; UNIV WISCONSIN, GRAD SCH, INST ENZYME RES/MADISON//WI/53706; UNIV WISCONSIN, GRAD SCH, DEPT BIOCHEM/MADISON//WI/53706 Journal: JOURNAL OF BACTERIOLOGY, 1997, V179, N22 (NOV), P6912-6920

ISSN: 0021-9193 Publication date: 19971100 Publisher: AMER SOC MICROBIOLOGY, 1325 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW,

WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4171

Document Type: ARTICLE (ABSTRACT AVAILABLE) Language: English

- ... Abstract: Pseudomonas capable of utilizing nitroglycerin (NG) as a sole nitrogen source were isolated from NG- contaminated soil and identified as Pseudomonas putida II-B and P. fluorescens I-C, While 9
- ...dependent denitration reactions were consistent with a single enzyme being responsible for the in vivo product distributions Simulation of the product formation kinetics by numerical integration showed that the P. putida enzyme produced...
- ...DNG, a 1.3-fold selectivity for the C-1 nitro group was determined. Comparable simulations of the product distributions from the P. fluorescens enzyme showed that NG was denitrated with a 4.6-fold...

(Item 1 from file: 144) DIALOG(R) File 144: Pascal (c) 2004 INIST/CNRS. All rts. reserv.

13667292 PASCAL No.: 98-0375261

A decision support system for the prediction of microbial food safety and food quality

WIJTZES T; VAN'T RIET K; HUIS IN'T VELD J H J; ZWIETERING M H Wageningen Agricultural University, Department of Food Technology and Nutrition Sciences, Wageningen, Netherlands; Utrecht University, School of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht, Netherlands

Journal: International journal of food microbiology, 1998, 42 (1-2) 79-90

Language: English

Copyright (c) 1998 INIST-CNRS. All rights reserved.

... parameters. Food quality can be calculated as a function of fluctuating temperature in time. Several **food distribution** chains can be **simulated** in order to assess the influence of distribution chains on food quality. The described methods...

English Descriptors: Formulation; Manufacturing; Decision support system;
Database; Foodstuff; Prediction; Quality; Safety; Biological
contamination; Simulation; Product development; Environmental factor

French Descriptors: Formulation; Fabrication; Systeme aide decision; Base donnee; Produit alimentaire; Prediction; Qualite; Securite; Contamination biologique; Simulation; Developpement produit; Facteur milieu

?

?t s6/medium,k/all

Dialog Search 6 Aug 04

6/K/1

DIALOG(R) File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03760611 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGS198010611 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

Impact of changing consumer lifestyles on the emergence/reemergence of foodborne pathogens.

Collins, Janet E

Emerging Infectious Diseases (Emerging Infect Dis) v. 3 (Oct./Dec. '97) p. 471-9

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl il ISSN: 1080-6040

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 5341

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

... and source of foodborne disease outbreaks and cases. CDC will use the data to identify emerging foodborne pathogens and monitor incidence of foodborne illness; FSIS will use the data to evaluate the effectiveness...with others, but they want to know that steps are taken during the processing and distribution of foods to reduce the likelihood of pathogen or other bacterial contamination.

According to Technomics...Agency. These responsibilities include oversight on the farm, in the processing facilities, during transportation and distribution (including food from foreign countries), and in

6/K/2

DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03760165 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGSI98010165 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

Irradiation pasteurization of solid foods: taking food safety to the next level.

Osterholm, Michael T

Potter, Morris E

Emerging Infectious Diseases (Emerging Infect Dis) v. 3 (Oct./Dec. '97) p.
575-7

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl ISSN: 1080-6040

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 1938

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

... residual risk for infection that remains after state-of-practice sanitation during production, harvest, processing, **distribution**, and preparation yields an unacceptable level of illness and death. In addition, the admonition to...vacuum created by this lack of leadership from public health. Presentations at the Conference on **Emerging Foodborne**Pathogens make it clear that new foodborne hazards are being stacked on top of old, unresolved...

6/K/3

DIALOG(R) File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03760157 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGSI98010157 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

Identifying and controlling emerging foodborne pathogens: research

Buchanan, Robert L

Emerging Infectious Diseases (Emerging Infect Dis) v. 3 (Oct./Dec. '97) p. 517-21

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl il ISSN: 1080-6040

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 3023

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

Identifying and controlling emerging foodborne pathogens : research
needs.

TEXT:

Because it requires substantial knowledge, HACCP cannot be expected to control unknown hazards, such as emerging foodborne pathogens. Therefore, controlling a new foodborne microbial threat requires moving the hazard as quickly as possible...normal controls? Does the pathogen grow in foods? Does the pathogen survive normal food processing, distribution, and preparation? How infectious/toxigenic is the pathogen? Are there subpopulations of consumers at increased...

...research. Little consideration has been given to how to assess and set research priorities for emerging foodborne pathogens. One attempt was provided as an appendix of the U.S. Pathogen Reduction Task Force...fax: 215-233-6445; e-mail: rbuchanan@arserrc.gov.

Table. Research data needed for most emerging foodborne pathogens

Research area Knowledge gaps
Detection Sampling and enrichment

methods

techniques Cultivating

Biochemical/taxonomic char.

Antibodies...

6/K/4

DIALOG(R) File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03760155 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGSI98010155 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

Foodborne disease control: a transnational challenge.

Kaferstein, F. K

Motarjemi, Y; Bettcher, D. W

Emerging Infectious Diseases (Emerging Infect Dis) v. 3 (Oct./Dec. '97) p. 503-10

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl il ISSN: 1080-6040

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 4499

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

... and packaging to locations thousands of miles away. This multinational approach to food production and **distribution** and the progressive opening up of world markets have allowed the international food trade to...3 days, and the product was withdrawn from the market (12).

Because of global food **distribution** and worldwide travel, an international exchange of information on foodborne disease incidences and outbreaks and...inactivate bacterial agents; and cultural factors relating to consumers.

In addition, for some of the **emerging foodborne pathogens**, the sources of exposure are still not fully understood. Information on foodborne disease outbreaks provides...

6/K/5

DIALOG(R) File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03760153 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGS198010153 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

Communicating foodborne disease risk.

Fischhoff, Baruch

Downs, Julie S

Emerging Infectious Diseases (Emerging Infect Dis) v. 3 (Oct./Dec. '97) p. 489-95

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl il ISSN: 1080-6040

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 3751

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

... notice to deal with various crises, often involving baffling combinations of foods, pathogens, handling and **distribution** practices, dietary norms, and interactions with medical conditions and medications. Their response to this challenge...

...in lay understanding of risk. We explore here the implications for anticipating public response to **emerging foodborne pathogens** and offer a proposal for how an effective communication campaign might be organized.

Although risk communication research does not directly address emerging foodborne pathogens, it is compatible with the model of risk assessment that the food industry seems to...

 \dots level (through practical measures), and communicating with the public about them.

Like many other risks, emerging foodborne pathogens are of primary concern to some specialists but one more thing to worry about for of patients, with a distribution of physical states (e.g., stroke risks) and values (e.g., time horizons). The analysis...labeling, warning, or communication strategy is chosen will leave some residual risk, with an uneven distribution depending on the heterogeneous sensitivities of the audience. Thus, the strategy reflects the authorities' notion...

...empirical testing is needed to determine whether communications fulfill the hopes placed in them (27). Emerging foodborne pathogens provide a particular challenge to safety communications—and a particular need for evaluation. Their novelty...

6/K/6

DIALOG(R) File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03760152 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGS198010152 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

Quantitative risk assessment: an emerging tool for emerging foodborne pathogens.

Lammerding, Anna M

Paoli, Greg M

Emerging Infectious Diseases (Emerging Infect Dis) v. 3 (Oct./Dec. '97) p.
483-7

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl ISSN: 1080-6040

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 3453

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

Quantitative risk assessment: an emerging tool for emerging foodborne pathogéns.

...ABSTRACT: require new strategies for evaluating and managing food safety risks. Changes in pathogens, food preparation, **distribution**, and consumption, and population immunity have the potential to adversely affect human health. Risk assessment...

TEXT:

- ... of foodborne diseases is a result of complex interactions and changes in pathogens, foods, food **distribution**, food consumption, and population immunity (1-3). Predicting the impact of a trend in one...
- ...the food continuum presupposes understanding of the whole system. Aspects of the food processing and **distribution** system can amplify or attenuate the trend as it grows into a potential health hazard...
- ...collected during hazard identification are later used in exposure assessment, where the impact of processing, **distribution**, preparation, and consumption of the food are incorporated.

EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

Exposure assessment describes the pathways through which a pathogen population is introduced, distributed, and challenged in the production, distribution, and consumption of food. This step differs from hazard identification in that it describes a...existing and new data, capture knowledge of the relevant features of the food processing and distribution continuum, and capture knowledge of the variability in consumer behavior and immune system responses. If...

...through the use of probabilistic representations for many of the parameters. To generate a representative **distribution** of risk, the model is simulated many times with different values selected from the probability

...as Monte Carlo simulation (20-22).

While the direct output of the model is a **distribution** of health risk from eating ground beef hamburger patties, a more important use of the ...be simulated, such as trends in cat ownership, consumption of implicated products, and the age **distribution** of pregnancy. The emergence of toxoplasmosis as one of the leading causes of death in...

...available understanding of the interacting features of the food supply and their effect on the **distribution** of health risk. Once the model has been developed, the impact of various control strategies...

...be simulated. Our current inability to compare control strategies at different points of the food **supply chain** is evidence of the need for a system-level understanding that will improve decision-making...

6/K/7

DIALOG(R)File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03760145 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGS198010145 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

Emerging foodborne diseases: an evolving public health challenge.

Tauxe, Robert V

Emerging Infectious Diseases (Emerging Infect Dis) v. 3 (Oct./Dec. '97) p. 425-34

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl il ISSN: 1080-6040

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 6991

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

... or sporadic cases. Therefore, the sources of sporadic cases must

also be investigated and understood.

EMERGING FOODBORNE PATHOGENS

Substantial progress has been made in preventing foodborne diseases. For example, typhoid fever, extremely common...been identified during these investigations, including contamination during production and harvest, initial processing and packing, distribution, and final processing (Table 3). For example, fresh or inadequately composted manure is used sometimes ...of the illness syndrome, the potential hazard of that food, and the logical consistency of distribution of the suspect food and cases are essential.

The role of the regulatory agency laboratory is also affected by the new scenario. Because of the short shelf life and broad **distribution** of many of the new foods responsible for infection, by the time the outbreak is...manure,

bundling lack of field sanitation

Initial processing

Washing, waxing, Wash water, handling

sorting, boxing

Distribution

Trucking Ice, dirty trucks

Final processing

Slicing, squeezing, Wash water, handling, shredding, peeling cross-contamination...

6/K/8

DIALOG(R) File 98:General Sci Abs/Full-Text (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co. All rts. reserv.

03544151 H.W. WILSON RECORD NUMBER: BGS197044151 (USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

Emerging foodborne diseases.

Altekruse, S. F

Cohen, M. L; Swerdlow, D. L

Emerging Infectious Diseases (Emerging Infect Dis) v. 3 (July/Sept. '97) p. 285-93

SPECIAL FEATURES: bibl il ISSN: 1080-6040

LANGUAGE: English

COUNTRY OF PUBLICATION: United States

WORD COUNT: 5717

(USE FORMAT 7 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

in the last two decades has accompanied modern food industries' centralized production and large-scale **distribution**. The most prevalent serotypes, Salmonella serotype Enteritidis (SE), Salmonella Typhimurium, and Salmonella Heidelberg, account for...from 1970 to 1994 (21). Fresh produce is susceptible to contamination during growth, harvest, and **distribution**. The surface of plants and fruits may be contaminated by human or animal feces. Pathogens...

...from parent to child (29).

CHANGES IN INDUSTRY AND TECHNOLOGY

The trend toward greater geographic **distribution** of products from large centralized food processors carries a risk for dispersed outbreaks. When mass

...illnesses may appear sporadic rather than part of an outbreak (32).

Industry consolidation and mass distribution of foods may lead to large outbreaks of foodborne disease. In 1985, an outbreak of...

...linked by common machinery (35), resulting in large flocks with common risk profiles. Large-scale **distribution** of shell eggs from infected flocks has caused outbreaks in which contaminated eggs were distributed... undercooked,

crosscontaminated.

Table 2. Selected outbreaks in the United States 1988-1997, associated with emerging foodborne pathogens and factors for the emergence of these pathogens

Figure 1. Salmonella serotype Enteritidis as a...

?ds

Set	Items	Description
S1	0	EMERGING (W) FOODBOURNE (W) PATHOGENS
S2	10	EMERGING (W) FOODBORNE (W) PATHOGENS
S3	. 10	RD (unique items)
S4	23706	DISTRIBUTION OR (SUPPLY()CHAIN)
S5	8	S3 AND S4
S6	8	RD (unique items)
2		

PLUS Search Results for S/N 10681581, Searched August 09, 2004

The Patent Linguistics Utility System (PLUS) is a USPTO automated sear ch

system for U.S. Patents from 1971 to the present. PLUS is a query-by-example search system which produces a list of patents that a re

most closely related linguistically to the application searched. This search was prepared by the staff of the Scientific and Technical Information Center, SIRA.

10681581_LIST.txt

5573800	
5573801	
6027754	
6110516	
4838154	
5004621	
5048404	
5235905	
5274030	
5288471	
5424324	
5489478	
5514391	
5645877	
5690978	